

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Geometry and Physics 53 (2005) 166-179



www.elsevier.com/locate/jgp

# The moduli space of torsion-free $G_2$ structures

Sung Ho Wang\*

Department of Mathematics, Postech, Pohang 790-784, Republic of Korea

Received 3 January 2004; received in revised form 25 May 2004; accepted 10 June 2004 Available online 17 July 2004

#### Abstract

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the moduli space of torsion-free  $G_2$  structures on a compact oriented  $G_2$  manifold M. The natural cohomology map  $\pi^3 : \mathfrak{M} \to H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  is known to be a local diffeomorphism [Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy, Oxford University Press, 2000]. Let  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \subset \mathfrak{M}$  be the subset of  $G_2$  structures with volume (M) = 1. We show every nonzero element of  $H^4(M, \mathbb{R}) = H^3(M, \mathbb{R})^*$  is a Morse function on  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  when composed with  $\pi^3$ , and we compute its Hessian. The result implies a special case of Torelli's theorem: if  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$  and dim  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R}) = 2$ , the cohomology map  $\pi^3 : \mathfrak{M} \to H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  is one to one on each connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . We formulate a compactness conjecture on the set of  $G_2$  structures of volume (M) = 1 with bounded  $L^2$  norm of curvature. If this conjecture were true, it would imply that every connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is contractible, and that every compact  $G_2$  manifold supports a  $G_2$  structure whose fundamental 4-form represents the negative of the (nonzero) first Pontryagin class of M. We also observe that when  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ , and the volume of the torus  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R})/H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is constant along  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ , the locus  $\pi^3(\mathfrak{M}_1) \subset H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ 

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

AMS SC: 53C26

Keywords: G2 structure; Moduli space; Morse function

\* Tel.: +82-54-2792985; fax: +82-54-2792712. *E-mail address:* wang@postech.ac.kr.

0393-0440/\$ - see front matter © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.geomphys.2004.06.001

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the moduli space of torsion-free  $G_2$  structures on a compact  $G_2$  manifold M. It is known that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a smooth manifold of dimension  $b^3 = \dim H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ . When M has full holonomy  $G_2$ , or equivalently when  $\pi_1(M)$  is finite, a connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}$  coincides with the Ricci flat Einstein deformation space of the underlying  $G_2$  metric, as the property for a Ricci flat metric to support a parallel spinor is preserved under Einstein deformation. In particular,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a real analytic manifold endowed with an  $L^2$  Riemannian metric [7,9,10].

The moduli space of polarized Calabi-Yau structures has been studied extensively [13], and there is a recent work of Wilson on the normalized Kähler moduli of Calabi-Yau manifolds [15]. One of our motivation for this work is to propose an extension of these studies to  $G_2$  moduli space, for all of these moduli spaces belong to the category of moduli space of metrics with special holonomy.

Another motivation comes from the following question: *Can one find the best*  $G_2$  *structure on a given*  $G_2$  *manifold* M? A natural condition would be to require the fundamental 3-form  $\phi \in \Omega^3(M)$  to satisfy  $[*_{\phi}\phi] = -p_1(M)$ , where  $p_1(M) \in H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$  is the (nonzero) first Pontryagin class and  $*_{\phi}\phi$  is the Hodge dual of  $\phi$ . We will see that such  $\phi$  locally minimizes the  $L^2$  norm of the curvature tensor of the underlying  $G_2$  metric within the set of  $G_2$ structures with fixed volume(M), Section 4.

One of the main results of this paper is the statement that any nonzero element  $\beta \in H^4(M, \mathbb{R}) = H^3(M, \mathbb{R})^*$  composed with the cohomology map  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \to H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  is a Morse function on  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \subset \mathfrak{M}$  is the subset of the moduli space of  $G_2$  structures of volume 1. Moreover we show that when  $b^1 = 0$  and  $\beta = -p_1(M) \neq 0$ , every critical point is a positive local minimum. As a corollary, we prove a special case of the Torelli theorem; if  $b^1 = 0$  and  $b^3 = 2$ , the cohomology map  $\mathfrak{M} \to H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  is one to one on each connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

In order to apply this result to the question of finding a *canonical*  $G_2$  structure, one will need an analogue of Mumford compactness theorem for Riemann surfaces. Based on the geometry of the Torelli map (to be defined below) and the main results above, we formulate in Section 4 a conjecture on the compactness of the set of  $G_2$  structures of volume 1 with uniformly bounded height with respect to  $-p_1(M)$ . If this compactness theorem is true, a simple Morse theory argument then implies that each connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is contractible, and that every compact  $G_2$  manifold M supports a  $G_2$  form  $\phi$  such that  $[*_{\phi}\phi] = -p_1(M)$ .

In the last section, we consider the Torelli map  $\pi : \mathfrak{M} \to H^3(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$\pi(\langle \phi \rangle) = (\pi^3(\langle \phi \rangle), \pi^4(\langle \phi \rangle)) = ([\phi], [*_{\phi}\phi]) \in H^3(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^4(M, \mathbb{R}),$$

where  $\langle \phi \rangle$  represents the equivalence class of  $G_2$  structures represented by  $\phi$ . We prove that if  $b^1 = 0$  and  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$  is equipped with the canonical metric of signature  $(b^3, b^4), \pi$  is an isometric immersion (up to sign) along  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ . Moreover, if  $b^3 = 2$ , the natural Riemannian metric on  $\mathfrak{M}$  is flat.

We also describe a  $G_2$  moduli space analogue of Hitchin's result on the canonical embedding of the special Lagrangian moduli space [8]. If  $b^1 = 0$  and the volume of the torus  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R})/H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is constant along  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ , the hypersurface  $\pi^3(\mathfrak{M}_1) \subset H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  is a hyperbolic affine sphere centered at the origin. This is also equivalent to the ratio of Jacobians of the projections  $\pi^3$  and  $\pi^4$  being constant along  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ .

## 2. Moduli space of G<sub>2</sub> structures

In this section we record some definitions and basic results on  $G_2$  structures [9,2,3]. Consider the exterior 3-form  $\varphi$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^7$  by

$$\varphi = (\mathrm{d}x^{12} + \mathrm{d}x^{34} + \mathrm{d}x^{56}) \wedge \mathrm{d}x^7 + \mathrm{d}x^{135} - \mathrm{d}x^{146} - \mathrm{d}x^{362} - \mathrm{d}x^{524}, \tag{1}$$

where  $x^i$ 's are standard coordinates and  $dx^{12} = dx^1 \wedge dx^2$ , etc. The stabilizer of  $\varphi$ 

$$G_2 = \{A \in GL_7(\mathbb{R}) | A^* \varphi = \varphi\}$$

is the compact, connected, simply connected, rank 2 simple Lie group of dimension 14.  $G_2$  also leaves invariant the 7-form  $dx^{12\cdots7}$  and a metric defined by

$$\langle u, v \rangle \,\mathrm{d}x^{12\cdots 7} = \frac{1}{6} (u \lrcorner \varphi) \land (v \lrcorner \varphi) \land \varphi \tag{2}$$

for  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^7$ .  $GL_7(\mathbb{R})$  orbit of  $\varphi$  is one of two open  $GL_7(\mathbb{R})$  orbits in  $\bigwedge^3(\mathbb{R}^7)^*$  [2].

Let  $\pi : F \to M$  be the principal  $GL_7^+(\mathbb{R})$  bundle over a compact oriented 7-manifold M. The fiber over a point  $p \in M$  consists of the oriented linear isomorphisms from the tangent space  $T_pM$  to  $\mathbb{R}^7$ . Let  $PM = F/G_2 \subset \bigwedge^3 T^*M$  be the open subset whose fiber at each point  $p \in M$  consists of  $\phi_p \in \bigwedge^3 T_p^*M$  that can be identified with (1) under an oriented isomorphism between  $T_pM$  and  $\mathbb{R}^7$ . An element  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(PM)$  is called a *positive* 3-form. By definition, a positive 3-form  $\phi$  on M is equivalent to a topological  $G_2$  structure on M, i.e., a reduction of the  $GL_7^+(\mathbb{R})$  bundle F to a  $G_2 \subset SO_7$  bundle

$$F_{\phi} = \{ \mathbf{u} \in F | \mathbf{u} : T_{\pi(\mathbf{u})} M \to \mathbb{R}^7 \text{ is an oriented linear isomorphism such that}$$
$$\mathbf{u}^* \varphi = \phi_{\pi(\mathbf{u})} \}.$$
(3)

A compact oriented 7-manifold admits a positive 3-form if and only if it is spin [9].

Let  $g_{\phi}$  and  $dvol_{\phi}$  be the metric and the volume form determined by a positive 3-form  $\phi$  as in (2). Since  $PM \subset \bigwedge^3 T^*M$  is an open subset, the tangent space  $T_{\phi}C^{\infty}(PM)$  of the infinite-dimensional manifold  $C^{\infty}(PM)$  is the space of differential 3-forms

$$T_{\phi}C^{\infty}(PM) = C^{\infty}\left(\bigwedge{}^{3}T^{*}M\right) = \Omega^{3},$$

and  $C^{\infty}(PM)$  becomes a Riemannian manifold with respect to the  $L^2$  metric on  $\Omega^3$  determined by  $g_{\phi}$ . Note that the diffeomorphism group Diff(*M*) acts on  $C^{\infty}(PM)$  by isometries.

Let  $*_{\phi}$  be the Hodge star operator on differential forms induced by  $\phi$ .

$$d\phi = 0, \qquad d *_{\phi} \phi = 0. \tag{4}$$

An oriented 7-manifold is a  $G_2$  manifold if it supports a  $G_2$  form.

Eq. (4) is equivalent to the torsion freeness of the associated  $G_2 \subset SO_7$  structure  $F_{\phi}$  [2]. Let  $\phi$  be a  $G_2$  form on a compact  $G_2$  manifold M. The holonomy of the associated  $G_2$ *metric*  $g_{\phi}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G_2$ , and  $g_{\phi}$  is necessarily Ricci-flat. By applying Cheeger–Gromoll splitting theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, the holonomy of a  $G_2$  metric is full  $G_2$  whenever the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  is finite.

Let  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}} \subset C^{\infty}(PM)$  denote the space of  $G_2$  forms, and let  $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  be the subgroup of diffeomorphisms of M isotopic to the identity.

**Definition 2.** Let *M* be a  $G_2$  manifold. The moduli space of  $G_2$  structures (forms) is the quotient space  $\mathfrak{M} = \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}/\mathcal{D}_0$ .

Given a  $G_2$  form  $\phi$ , we denote its equivalence class by  $\langle \phi \rangle$ .

**Remark 1.** The *true*  $G_2$  moduli space is  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}/\text{Diff}(M)$ , and  $\mathfrak{M}$  should instead be called the *Teichmúller space* of  $G_2$  structures. However, since only the space  $\mathfrak{M}$  will be considered in this paper, we use the term  $G_2$  moduli space for  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

 $\mathfrak{M}$  is a smooth manifold of dimension  $b^3 = \dim H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  [9]. From Remark 1, there exists a Riemannian metric on  $\mathfrak{M}$  for which  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}} \to \mathfrak{M}$  is a Riemannian submersion. A connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}$  coincides with the Einstein deformation space of the underlying  $G_2$  metrics, which has a real analytic structure [10]. From the definition, the cohomology map  $\pi^3 : \mathfrak{M} \to H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  is well defined, and we denote the image of an equivalence class by  $\pi^3(\langle \phi \rangle) = [\phi]$  for simplicity.

**Remark 2.** Let *f* be a diffeomorphism of *M*. Then

$$*_{f^*\phi}f^*\phi = f^*(*_{\phi}\phi),$$
(5)

and the cohomology map  $\pi^4 : \mathfrak{M} \to H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$  by  $\pi^4(\langle \phi \rangle) = [*_{\phi}\phi]$  is also well defined. In fact, we may take the dual definition of the moduli space of  $G_2$  structures as the set of equivalence classes of *positive* 4-forms  $\psi = *_{\phi}\phi$  that satisfy  $d\psi = 0$  and  $d *_{\psi} \psi = 0$ . Note however that  $*_{\phi}\phi = *_{(-\phi)}(-\phi)$ .

Let  $\phi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Then  $\bigwedge^* T^*M$  admits a  $G_2$  invariant decomposition

$$\bigwedge{}^{2}T^{*}M = \bigwedge{}^{2}_{7} \oplus \bigwedge{}^{2}_{14}, \qquad \bigwedge{}^{3}T^{*}M = \bigwedge{}^{3}_{1} \oplus \bigwedge{}^{3}_{7} \oplus \bigwedge{}^{3}_{27}$$

such that

$$\bigwedge_{7}^{2} = \{ v \lrcorner \phi | v \in TM \}, \qquad \bigwedge_{14}^{2} = \left\{ \eta \in \bigwedge_{2}^{2} T^{*}M | \eta \wedge *_{\phi}\phi = 0 \right\},$$

$$\bigwedge_{1}^{3} = \{ \lambda \phi | \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \}, \qquad \bigwedge_{7}^{3} = \{ v \lrcorner *_{\phi} \phi | v \in TM \},$$

$$\bigwedge_{27}^{3} = \{ h \cdot \phi | h \in S^{2}(T^{*}M) \text{ is a quadratic form with } \operatorname{tr}_{g_{\phi}} h = 0 \},$$

$$(6)$$

where  $h \cdot \phi$  denotes the action of  $h \in S^2(T^*M) \subset \text{End}(TM)$  as a derivation. In particular, for any  $X \in \Omega^3$  there exists a unique quadratic form  $h_X$  and a vector field  $v_X$  such that

$$X = h_X \cdot \phi + v_X \lrcorner *_{\phi} \phi$$

We set  $\Omega_k^p = C^{\infty}(\bigwedge_k^p)$ , and write  $X = \sum X_k$  with  $X_k \in \Omega_k^p$  for the decomposition of a given  $X \in \Omega^p$ . Since the Hodge Laplacian commutes with this decomposition [4], it follows that the de Rham cohomology group admits a corresponding Hodge decomposition  $H^p(M, \mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus H_k^p$ .

Furthermore  $\Omega^3 \cong T_{\phi}C^{\infty}(PM)$  admits  $L^2$  orthogonal decomposition along the submanifold  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}} \subset C^{\infty}(PM)$  as follows, which easily follows from (6) and [9, p. 252].

$$T_{\phi}\widehat{\mathfrak{M}} = \{X \in \Omega^{3} | dX = 0, d \ast_{\phi} (\frac{4}{3}X_{1} + X_{7} - X_{27}) = 0\} = H_{\phi} \oplus V_{\phi},$$
  

$$H_{\phi} = \{X \in \Omega^{3} | dX = 0, d \ast_{\phi} X = 0\} = T_{\phi}\widehat{\mathfrak{M}} \cap V_{\phi}^{\perp},$$
  

$$V_{\phi} = \{d\Omega_{7}^{2}\}, \qquad N_{\phi} = (T_{\phi}\widehat{\mathfrak{M}})^{\perp} \subset \Omega^{3}.$$
(7)

Here  $*_{\phi}((4/3)X_1 + X_7 - X_{27})$  is the derivative of the map  $\phi \to *_{\phi}\phi$ .  $H_{\phi}$  and  $V_{\phi}$  represent the horizontal and vertical subspaces of  $T_{\phi}\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$  with respect to the submersion  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}} \to \mathfrak{M}$ . The orthogonal projection maps from  $T_{\phi}C^{\infty}(PM)$  to these subspaces will be denoted by  $\Pi_{\phi}^{\mathrm{H}}$ ,  $\Pi_{\phi}^{\mathrm{V}}$ , and  $\Pi_{\phi}^{\mathrm{N}}$ , respectively.

## 3. Horizontal geodesics on $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}} \to \mathfrak{M}$

Let  $\{w^1, w^2, \ldots, w^7\}$  be a local coframe on a  $G_2$  manifold M with a  $G_2$  form  $\phi$ . For a differential 3-form X on M we write,

$$X = \frac{1}{6} X_{ijk} w^i \wedge w^j \wedge w^k \in T_{\phi} C^{\infty}(PM) \cong \Omega^3,$$

where  $X_{ijk}$  is skew symmetric in all of its indices. Then the  $L^2$  inner product on  $T_{\phi}C^{\infty}(PM)$  is given by

$$\langle\langle X, Y \rangle\rangle_{\phi} = \int_{M} \langle X, Y \rangle_{\phi} \operatorname{dvol}_{\phi} = \frac{1}{6} \int_{M} X_{ijk} Y_{i'j'k'} g_{\phi}^{ii'} g_{\phi}^{jj'} g_{\phi}^{kk'} \operatorname{dvol}_{\phi}, \tag{8}$$

where  $g_{\phi}^{ii'} = \langle w^i, w^{i'} \rangle_{\phi}$  represent the inner product on  $T^*M$  defined by  $g_{\phi}$ . Note that  $\langle \langle \phi, \phi \rangle \rangle_{\phi} = 7 \operatorname{Vol}_{\phi}(M)$ .

Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of the  $L^2$  metric (8) on  $C^{\infty}(PM)$ . If we identify tangent vectors to  $C^{\infty}(PM)$  with the  $\Omega^3$  valued functions on  $C^{\infty}(PM)$ , we have

$$\nabla_X Y = X(Y) + D_X Y,\tag{9}$$

where X(Y) is the directional derivative of Y as an  $\Omega^3$  valued function, and  $D_X Y$  is the covariant derivative of Y considered as a translation invariant vector field with respect to the natural linear structure of  $C^{\infty}(PM) \subset \Omega^3$ .  $D_X Y$  can be computed explicitly.

**Lemma 1** (Bryant [3]). Let  $Z = h_Z \cdot \phi + v_Z \lrcorner *_{\phi} \phi \in T_{\phi}C^{\infty}(PM)$ , and consider a curve  $\phi_t = \phi + tZ + O(t^2) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Then  $g_{\phi_t} = g_{\phi} + t2h_Z + O(t^2)$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $X, Y, Z \in \Omega^3$  be viewed as translation invariant vector fields in a neighborhood of  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(PM)$ . Then

$$Z\langle\langle X,Y\rangle\rangle_{\phi} = -\langle\langle h_Z \cdot X,Y\rangle\rangle_{\phi} - \langle\langle X,h_Z \cdot Y\rangle\rangle_{\phi} + \int_M \operatorname{tr}_{g_{\phi}}(h_Z)\langle X,Y\rangle_{\phi} \operatorname{dvol}_{\phi}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$2\langle\langle D_X Y, Z \rangle\rangle_{\phi} = -2\langle\langle h_X \cdot Y + h_Y \cdot X, Z \rangle\rangle_{\phi} + \langle\langle h_Z \cdot X, Y \rangle\rangle_{\phi} + \langle\langle X, h_Z \cdot Y \rangle\rangle_{\phi} + \int_M \operatorname{tr}_{g_{\phi}}(h_X)\langle Y, Z \rangle_{\phi} \operatorname{dvol}_{\phi} + \int_M \operatorname{tr}_{g_{\phi}}(h_Y)\langle X, Z \rangle_{\phi} \operatorname{dvol}_{\phi} - \int_M \operatorname{tr}_{g_{\phi}}(h_Z)\langle X, Y \rangle_{\phi} \operatorname{dvol}_{\phi}.$$
(11)

**Proof.** For (10), differentiate (8) using Lemma 1. (11) is the standard formula for computing Levi-Civita connection from a Riemannian metric [6], using the fact [X, Y] = [Y, Z] = [Z, X] = 0 for they are translation invariant.

Let  $\gamma_t \subset \mathfrak{M}$  be a geodesic, and let  $\phi_t \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$  be one of its horizontal lifts based at  $\phi_0$ , which is also a geodesic in  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$ . As a curve in  $C^{\infty}(PM)$ ,

$$\Pi_t^{\mathbf{N}}(\phi_t') = 0 \quad (\phi_t \text{ is horizontal}), \tag{12}$$

$$\Pi_t^{\mathbf{N}}(\nabla_{\phi_t'}\phi_t') = \nabla_{\phi_t'}\phi_t' \quad (\phi_t \text{ is geodesic}), \tag{13}$$

where  $\Pi_t^{\mathrm{N}} = \Pi_{\phi_t}^{\mathrm{N}}$ . Since

$$\nabla_{\phi_t'}\phi_t' = \phi_t'' + D_{\phi_t'}\phi_t',$$

we get from (13)

$$\phi_0'' = \Pi_0^{\mathrm{N}}(\phi_0'') - \Pi_0^{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{H}}(D_{\phi_0'}\phi_0').$$

Differentiating (12)

$$\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Pi_t^{\mathrm{N}}(\phi_0') \right|_{t=0} + \Pi_0^{\mathrm{N}}(\phi_0'') = 0,$$

and we obtain

$$\phi_0'' = -\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Pi_t^{\mathrm{N}}(\phi_0') \right|_{t=0} - \Pi_0^{\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{H}}(D_{\phi_0'}\phi_0').$$
(14)

We record the following for later application.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\phi_t \in \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$  be a curve, and let  $\psi_0 = *_{\phi_0} \phi_0$ . Let  $X \in \Omega^3$  be a closed 3-form considered as a translation invariant vector field along  $\phi_t$ . Then

$$\int_{M} \psi_0 \wedge \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Pi_t^{\mathrm{N}}(X) \right|_{t=0} = 0$$

**Proof.** Write  $X = X_t^H + X_t^V + X_t^N$ , where  $X_t^H \in H_{\phi_t}, X_t^V \in V_{\phi_t}$ , and  $X_t^N \in N_{\phi_t}$ . From the decomposition (7),  $dX = dX_t^N = 0$ . Since  $N_{\phi_t}$  is orthogonal to  $H_{\phi_t}$ , the space of harmonic forms, every closed element in  $N_{\phi_t}$  is in fact exact.

## 4. Morse functions on $\mathfrak{M}_1$

Let  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_1 \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$  be the set of  $G_2$  forms with  $\operatorname{Vol}_{\phi}(M) = 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}_0$  acts trivially on the top cohomology  $H^7(M, \mathbb{R})$ , there exists an induced action of  $\mathcal{D}_0$  on  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_1$ . We let  $\mathfrak{M}_1 = \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_1/\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathfrak{M}$  be the subset of equivalence classes of  $G_2$  structures of volume 1. Then  $\mathfrak{M} \cong \mathfrak{M}_1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  naturally, and  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is an embedded hypersurface of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . In this section, we show that every nonzero element in  $H^4(M, \mathbb{R}) = H^3(M, \mathbb{R})^*$  is a Morse function when composed with the cohomology map  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \to H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ , and we compute its Hessian.

Define the volume function

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{7} \int_{M} \phi \wedge *_{\phi} \phi : \widehat{\mathfrak{M}} \to \mathbb{R}^{+}.$$
(15)

For  $X = X_1 + X_7 + X_{27} \in T_{\phi}\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$ ,

$$\nabla_X V = \frac{1}{7} \int_M X_1 \wedge *_\phi \phi + \phi \wedge \frac{4}{3} *_\phi X_1 \quad (by (7))$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \int_M X_1 \wedge *_\phi \phi = \frac{1}{3} \langle \langle X, \phi \rangle \rangle_\phi.$$

Thus the gradient of the volume function at  $\phi$  is

$$\nabla V = \frac{1}{3}\phi \in H_{\phi},$$

and we denote  $\nu_{\phi} = (1/\sqrt{7})\phi \in H_{\phi}$  the unit normal to  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_1 \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$  at  $\phi$ . The second fundamental form of the hypersurface  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_1$  is then

$$\mathrm{II} = -\langle \langle \delta \nu, \delta \phi \rangle \rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \langle \langle \delta \phi, \delta \phi \rangle \rangle,$$

and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_1 \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$  is a umbilic hypersurface. Here  $\delta \phi$  in the expression above is a tautological 1-form that represents the infinitesimal displacement of  $\phi$  in the vector space  $\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$ .

Next we introduce a special class of functions on  $\mathfrak{M}$ . For  $\beta \in H^4(M, \mathbb{R}) = H^3(M, \mathbb{R})^*$ , define

$$F^{\beta}(\langle \phi \rangle) = \beta([\phi]). \tag{16}$$

Let  $F_1^{\beta}$  denote its restriction to  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ , and  $\operatorname{Crit}(F_1^{\beta})$  denote its critical set.

**Remark 3.** From Remark 2,  $G^{\alpha}(\langle \phi \rangle) = \alpha([*_{\phi}\phi])$  is also well defined on  $\mathfrak{M}$  for  $\alpha \in H^{3}(M, \mathbb{R}) = H^{4}(M, \mathbb{R})^{*}$ .

**Proposition 2.** 

$$\operatorname{Crit}(F_1^{\beta}) = \left\{ \langle \phi \rangle | \beta = c_{\langle \phi \rangle}^{\beta} [*_{\phi} \phi] \text{ for some constant } c_{\langle \phi \rangle}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{7} F_1^{\beta}(\langle \phi \rangle) \right\}.$$

**Proof.** From the description of the unit normal  $\nu$  above,  $\langle \phi \rangle$  is a critical point of  $F_1^{\beta}$  whenever  $\beta$  annihilates  $H_7^3 \oplus H_{27}^3 \subset H_{\phi}$ . The proposition follows for  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R})^* = H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $\langle \phi_0 \rangle \in \operatorname{Crit}(F_1^\beta)$  and put  $\psi_0 = *_{\phi_0} \phi_0$ . Then one finds

$$\nabla^2 F_1^\beta|_{\langle\phi_0\rangle} = \nabla^2 F^\beta|_{\langle\phi_0\rangle} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} F^\beta|_{\langle\phi_0\rangle} \mathbf{II}_{\langle\phi_0\rangle} = \nabla^2 F^\beta|_{\langle\phi_0\rangle} - c^\beta_{\langle\phi_0\rangle} \langle\langle\delta\phi,\delta\phi\rangle\rangle_{\langle\phi_0\rangle}.$$
 (17)

Here we continue to use  $\nabla$  to denote the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian manifold  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Let  $X \in H_{\phi_0}$  be a horizontal lift of a tangent vector  $x \in T_{\langle \phi_0 \rangle} \mathfrak{M}_1$ , and let  $\phi_t \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$  be a horizontal geodesic with  $\phi'_0 = X$ . From (14) and Lemma 2,

$$\nabla^2 F^{\beta}(x,x) = \int_M c^{\beta}_{\langle \phi_0 \rangle} \psi_0 \wedge \phi_0'' = -c^{\beta}_{\langle \phi_0 \rangle} \langle \langle \phi_0, D_X X \rangle \rangle_{\phi_0}.$$

Set  $X = v_X \lrcorner \psi_0 + h_X \cdot \phi_0 = X_7 + X_{27}$ . Proposition 1 then gives,

$$\langle \langle \phi_0, D_X X \rangle \rangle_{\phi_0} = -2 \langle \langle h_X \cdot X, \phi_0 \rangle \rangle_{\phi_0} + \langle \langle X, X \rangle \rangle_{\phi_0} - \frac{i}{6} \langle \langle X, X \rangle \rangle_{\phi_0}$$
  
(since  $h_{\phi_0} = \frac{1}{3} g_{\phi_0}$  and  $X \in T_{\phi_0} \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_1$ )  
$$= -\frac{1}{6} \langle \langle X, X \rangle \rangle_{\phi_0} - 2 \langle \langle h_X \cdot X, \phi_0 \rangle \rangle_{\phi_0}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{6} \langle \langle X, X \rangle \rangle_{\phi_0} - 2 \langle \langle X_{27}, X_{27} \rangle \rangle_{\phi_0}.$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $F_1^{\beta}$  be the function on  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  defined by (16) for  $\beta \in H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$ . The Hessian of  $F_1^{\beta}$  at a critical point  $\langle \phi_0 \rangle \in \mathfrak{M}_1$  is

$$\nabla^2 F_1^\beta|_{\langle\phi_0\rangle}(x,x) = -c^\beta_{\langle\phi_0\rangle}(\frac{5}{6}\langle\langle x_7,x_7\rangle\rangle_{\langle\phi_0\rangle} - \frac{7}{6}\langle\langle x_{27},x_{27}\rangle\rangle_{\langle\phi_0\rangle}),$$

where  $x = x_7 + x_{27} \in T_{\langle \phi_0 \rangle} \mathfrak{M}_1 = H_7^3 \oplus H_{27}^3$ ,  $\beta = c_{\langle \phi_0 \rangle}^{\beta} [*_{\phi_0} \phi_0]$  with  $c_{\langle \phi_0 \rangle}^{\beta} = (1/7) F_1^{\beta}$ ( $\langle \phi_0 \rangle$ ). In particular  $F_1^{\beta}$  is a Morse function on  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  for any nonzero  $\beta \in H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

Note that if  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ , every critical point of  $F_1^\beta$  is either a positive local minimum or a negative local maximum.

Let  $p_1(M) \in H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$  be the first Pontryagin class of a  $G_2$  manifold M. It is known for any  $G_2$  form  $\phi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_1$ 

$$-p_1(M)([\phi]) = \frac{1}{56}\pi^2 \|R_{g_{\phi}}\|^2,$$
(18)

where  $||R_{g_{\phi}}||$  is the  $L^2$  norm of the curvature tensor of the  $G_2$  metric  $g_{\phi}$  [9].

**Corollary 1.** Let M be a compact oriented  $G_2$  manifold, and let  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  be the moduli space of  $G_2$  structures of volume 1. Then each connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is noncompact except when dim  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R}) = 1$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $p_1(M) = 0$ . Then by (18), any  $G_2$  structure on M is necessarily flat. M must then be a quotient of a flat torus, and  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is a  $SO_7/G_2 = \mathbb{R}P^7$  bundle over the moduli space of flat Riemannian metrics on M with volume 1, which is noncompact.

Suppose  $p_1(M) \neq 0$ , then  $F_1^{-p_1(M)} > 0$  on  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ . The corollary follows from Theorem 1 by maximum principle.

Theorem 1 also implies the following special case of the Torelli theorem for  $G_2$  structures. Set  $b^1 = \dim H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $b^3 = \dim H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let M be a compact oriented  $G_2$  manifold with  $b^1 = 0$  and  $b^3 = 2$ . Then each of the cohomology maps  $\pi^3 : \mathfrak{M} \to H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\pi^4 : \mathfrak{M} \to H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$  is one to one on every connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\phi_0, \phi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_1$  and put  $\psi_0 = *_{\phi_0} \phi_0, \psi = *_{\phi} \phi$ . Suppose  $\pi^4$  is not one to one and, since  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , assume  $[\psi] = \lambda[\psi_0]$  for a constant  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then both  $\langle \phi \rangle$  and  $\langle \phi_0 \rangle$  are critical points of  $F_1^{[\psi_0]}$  by Proposition 2. But since  $b^1 = 0$  and dim  $\mathfrak{M}_1 = 1$ ,  $F_1^{[\psi_0]}$  is positive and its critical points can only be local minima. Therefor  $F_1^{[\psi_0]}$  must be a positive convex function on  $\langle \phi_0 \rangle$  component of  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  with only one critical point  $\langle \phi_0 \rangle$ .

Suppose  $\pi^3$  is not one to one and assume  $[\phi] = \lambda[\phi_0]$ . The result follows from the similar argument by considering  $G^{[\phi_0]}$ , see Remark 3 for definition.

Based on Theorem 1 and Corollary 2, we propose the following conjecture on the compactness of  $G_2$  structures of volume 1 with bounded  $L^2$  norm of curvature.

**Conjecture 1.** Let  $\{\phi_n\}$  be a sequence of  $G_2$  forms on a compact oriented 7-manifold M with  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$  such that  $\operatorname{Vol}_{\phi_n}(M) = 1$ . Suppose  $\{-p_1(M)([\phi_n])\} = \{(1/56\pi^2) \| R_{g\phi_n} \|^2\}$  is bounded from above. Then there exists a subsequence  $\{\phi_{n_k}\}$ , a sequence of diffeomorphisms  $f_k$ , and a  $G_2$  form  $\phi$  such that  $f_k^* \phi_{n_k} \to \phi$  in  $C^1$ .

Suppose this conjecture is true, and consider the function  $F_1^{-p_1(M)}$ . From the  $C^1$  convergence we have  $[f_k^*\phi_{n_k}] \to [\phi]$  and  $[f_k^**_{\phi_{n_k}}\phi_{n_k}] \to [*_{\phi}\phi]$ , and the conjecture implies that the set

$$(F_1^{-p_1(M)})^c = \{\langle \phi \rangle \in \mathfrak{M}_1 | F_1^{-p_1(M)}(\langle \phi \rangle) \le c\}$$

is compact for any constant *c*. Hence  $F_1^{-p_1(M)}$  is a positive proper function [11]. Since every critical points of  $F_1^{-p_1(M)}$  is a positive local minimum, a result form Morse theory [11, p. 20] shows that every connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is contractible, and that there exists a unique critical point  $\langle \phi_0 \rangle$  of  $F_1^{-p_1(M)}$  in each connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ . By Theorem 1,  $[*_{\phi_0}\phi_0]$  must then be a constant multiple of  $-p_1(M)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M} \cong \mathfrak{M}_1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , this implies every connected component of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is contractible and contains a unique element  $\langle \phi_0 \rangle$  such that  $[*_{\phi_0}\phi_0] = -p_1(M)$ .

## 5. The Torelli map

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the moduli space of  $G_2$  structures on a compact  $G_2$  manifold M. The Torelli map  $\pi : \mathfrak{M} \to H^3(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$\pi(\langle \phi \rangle) = (\pi^3(\langle \phi \rangle), \pi^4(\langle \phi \rangle)) = ([\phi], [*_{\phi}\phi]).$$

The purpose of this section is to describe the image of the Torelli map  $\pi(\mathfrak{M})$  and the hypersurface  $\pi^3(\mathfrak{M}_1) \subset H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  (or equivalently  $\pi^4(\mathfrak{M}_1) \subset H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$ ). A general idea is that any invariants of  $\pi(\mathfrak{M})$  as a Lagrangian submanifold, see (21), or the invariants of  $\pi^3(\mathfrak{M}_1)$  as an affine hypersurface will be invariants of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . We assume in this section  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ .

Let  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$  be a basis of  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\{\beta^1, \beta^2, \ldots, \beta^l\}$  be its dual basis of  $H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$  so that  $\beta^A(\alpha_B) = \delta_B^A$ , where  $l = b^3 = \dim H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $x^l = (x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^l)$  and  $y^l = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_l)$  be the coordinates of  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $H^4(M, \mathbb{R})$  with respect to these basis. Then  $\sum_A dx^A \wedge dy_A$  is the canonical symplectic form and  $G = \sum_A dx^A dy_A$  is the canonical metric of signature (l, l) on  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^4(M, \mathbb{R}) = H^3(M, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^3(M, \mathbb{R})^*$ . By definition,

$$\pi^{3}(\langle \phi \rangle) = \sum_{A} x^{A}(\langle \phi \rangle) \alpha_{A}, \qquad \pi^{4}(\langle \phi \rangle) = \sum_{A} y_{A}(\langle \phi \rangle) \beta^{A}, \tag{19}$$

where  $x^{A}(\langle \phi \rangle) = \beta^{A}([\phi])$ ,  $y_{A}(\langle \phi \rangle) = \alpha_{A}([*_{\phi}\phi])$ . Each *x* and *y* is a local diffeomorphism from  $\mathfrak{M}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{l}$  [9]. The volume function (15) is well defined on  $\mathfrak{M} = \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}/\mathfrak{D}_{0}$ , and in terms of these coordinates is given by the formula

$$V(\langle \phi \rangle) = \frac{1}{7} \int_{M} \phi \wedge *_{\phi} \phi = \frac{1}{7} \sum_{A} x^{A} y_{A},$$

and

$$dV = \frac{1}{7} \sum_{A} x^{A} dy_{A} + y_{A} dx^{A} = \frac{1}{7} \int_{M} \phi \wedge d\pi^{4} + d\pi^{3} \wedge *_{\phi} \phi.$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{3} \int_{M} d\pi^{3} \wedge *_{\phi} \phi \quad (by (7))$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{A} y_{A} dx^{A}.$$
 (20)

Hence

$$3\sum_{A} x^{A} dy_{A} = 4\sum_{A} y_{A} dx^{A},$$
(21)

and the Torelli map  $\pi$  is a Lagrangian immersion [9].

Let  $p = (p_{AB}) = (p_{BA})$  be the unique  $GL_l(\mathbb{R})$  valued function on  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $dy_A = \sum_B p_{AB} dx^B$ . From (21), we have

$$4y = 3px, \tag{22}$$

and the invertible symmetric matrix function p transforms the period function x to y. Upon a change of coordinates  $x^* = a^{-1}x$ ,  $y^* = a^t y$  for  $a \in GL_l(\mathbb{R})$ , p becomes  $p^* = a^t pa$ , and  $dx^t p dx = dx^t \cdot dy$  is a well-defined quadratic form on  $\mathfrak{M}$ , which is the pulled back metric  $\pi^*(G)$ .

**Proposition 3.** The signature of  $\pi^*G$  is  $(1, b^3 - 1)$ .  $-\pi^*G$  is the  $L^2$  Riemannian metric when restricted to  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \subset \mathfrak{M}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Z = Z_1 + Z_{27} \in H_{\phi}$  be the horizontal lift of  $z = z_1 + z_{27} \in T_{\langle \phi \rangle} \mathfrak{M}_1$ . Then

$$\pi^* G(z, z) = \mathrm{d} x^t(z) \cdot \mathrm{d} y(z) = \frac{4}{3} \langle \langle Z_1, Z_1 \rangle \rangle_{\phi} - \langle \langle Z_{27}, Z_{27} \rangle \rangle_{\phi} \quad (by (7))$$
$$= \frac{4}{3} \langle \langle z_1, z_1 \rangle \rangle_{\langle \phi \rangle} - \langle \langle z_{27}, z_{27} \rangle \rangle_{\langle \phi \rangle}.$$

Let  $\{\xi_1(\phi), \xi_2(\phi), \dots, \xi_l(\phi)\}$  be a basis of harmonic 3-forms with respect to the  $G_2$  metric  $g_{\phi}$  such that  $[\xi_A(\phi)] = \alpha_A$ . The  $L^2$  Riemannian metric tensor on  $\mathfrak{M}$  can be written as  $m_{AB}(\langle \phi \rangle) dx^A dx^B$  where by definition

$$m_{AB}(\langle \phi \rangle) = \int_{M} *_{\phi} \xi_{A}(\phi) \wedge \xi_{B}(\phi) = \langle \langle \xi_{A}(\phi), \xi_{B}(\phi) \rangle \rangle_{\phi}$$
(23)

or equivalently

$$[*_{\phi}\xi_A(\phi)] = \sum_B m_{AB}(\langle\phi\rangle)\beta^B$$

From Proposition 3 and (20), (22), it easily follows that

$$m_{AB} = -p_{AB} + \frac{1}{3V} y_A y_B, \qquad m^{AB} = -p^{AB} + \frac{1}{4V} x^A x^B,$$
 (24)

where  $(m^{AB})$  and  $(p^{AB})$  are inverse matrices of  $(m_{AB})$  and  $(p_{AB})$ , respectively. (24) and Eqs. (21), (22) for example can be used to show  $\Delta V = (7/18)b^3 > 0$ .

**Remark 4.** When  $b^3 = 2$ , a computation using (24) shows  $m_{AB} dx^A dx^B$  is a flat Riemannian metric on  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

We now turn our attention to the hypersurface  $\Sigma = [\mathfrak{M}_1] \subset H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ . For concreteness, let us assume that  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$  is a basis of  $H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})/$  torsion. Choose an orientation for  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$ , and we consider the properties of  $\Sigma$  that are invariant under the linear change of basis by  $SL_l(\mathbb{R})$  [5,12]. We agree on the index range  $1 \leq i, j \leq l - 1$  and  $1 \leq A, B \leq l$ .

Let  $\vec{x} = \sum_A x^A \alpha_A$  be the immersion defined by (19). Since  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  is defined by the equation V = 1, we may write

$$d\vec{x} = \sum_{i} dx^{i} \alpha_{i} + dx^{l} \alpha_{l} = \sum_{i} dx^{i} \left(\alpha_{i} - \frac{y_{i}}{y_{l}}\alpha_{l}\right) + \left(dx^{l} + \frac{1}{y_{l}}\sum_{i} y_{i} dx^{i}\right)\alpha_{l}$$
$$= \sum_{i} \omega^{i} e_{i} + \omega^{l} e_{l},$$
(25)

where

$$e_i = \alpha_i - \frac{y_i}{y_l} \alpha_l, \qquad e_l = \alpha_l, \tag{26}$$

and  $\omega^i = dx^i$ . Note that by (25) we have  $\omega^l = dx^l + (1/y_l) \sum_i y_i dx^i = 0$ . Also after a linear change of coordinates if necessary, we may assume that  $y_l > 0$  and hence  $dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots dx^{l-1} \neq 0$ . Following the general theory of moving frames, define  $(\omega_A^B)$  by

$$\mathrm{d} e_A = \sum_B \omega_A^B e_B.$$

Differentiating (26), we get

$$de_i \equiv \omega_i^l e_l \mod e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{l-1} \equiv -d\left(\frac{y_i}{y_l}\right)e_l,$$
(27)

and

$$\begin{split} \omega_{i}^{l} &= -d\left(\frac{y_{i}}{y_{l}}\right) = -\frac{p_{ij}}{y_{l}} dx^{j} - \frac{p_{il}}{y_{l}} dx^{l} + \frac{y_{i}}{y_{l}^{2}} dy_{l} \\ &= -\frac{p_{ij}}{y_{l}} dx^{j} + \frac{1}{y_{l}^{2}} \left( (y_{i}p_{lj} + y_{j}p_{li}) dx^{j} - \frac{p_{ll}}{y_{l}} y_{i}y_{j} dx^{j} \right) \quad (\text{since } \omega^{l} = 0) \\ &= \left( -\frac{p_{ij}}{y_{l}} + \frac{p_{li}y_{j} + p_{lj}y_{i}}{y_{l}^{2}} - \frac{p_{ll}}{y_{l}^{3}} y_{i}y_{j} \right) dx^{j} = h_{ij}\omega^{j}. \end{split}$$

The second fundamental form of  $\Sigma$  is by definition

$$II = \sum_{ij} h_{ij} \omega^i \omega^j = -\frac{1}{y_l} \sum_{AB} p_{AB} \, \mathrm{d} x^A \, \mathrm{d} x^B.$$

Since we are assuming  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ , II is definite by Proposition 3 and we may set  $H = \det(h_{ij}) > 0$ . The normalized second fundamental form

$$\widehat{\mathbf{I}} = H^{-1/(l+1)}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{I}$$

is an affine invariant called *Blaschke metric* [5].  $\omega_1^l \wedge \omega_2^l \cdots \wedge \omega_{l-1}^l = H\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 \cdots \wedge \omega^{l-1}$  by definition, and a short computation using (27) gives

$$\omega_1^l \wedge \omega_2^l \dots \wedge \omega_{l-1}^l = \frac{1}{y_l^l} \left( \sum_A y_A \frac{\partial}{\partial y_A} \lrcorner dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \dots \wedge dy_l \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{y_l^l} \frac{3}{4} \det(p) \left( \sum_A x^A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} \lrcorner dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \dots \wedge dx^l \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{y_l^l} \frac{3}{4} \det(p) (-1)^{l-1} \frac{\sum_A x^A y_A}{y_l} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \dots \wedge dx^{l-1}$$

for 
$$\sum_{A} y_A(\partial/\partial y_A) = (3/4) \sum_{A} x^A(\partial/\partial x^A)$$
 by (21). Since  $V = (1/7) \sum_{A} x^A y_A = 1$ ,  
 $H = \frac{21}{4} \det(p)(-1)^{l-1} y_l^{-(l+1)}$ ,

and

$$\widehat{\Pi} = -\left(\frac{21}{4}\det(p)(-1)^{l-1}\right)^{-1/(l+1)}\sum_{AB}p_{AB}\,\mathrm{d}x^{A}\,\mathrm{d}x^{B}.$$

Another affine invariant  $\vec{\xi}^x$ , called *affine normal*, is defined by

$$\vec{\xi}^x = H^{1/(l+1)}\left(e_l + \sum_i t^i e_i\right),\,$$

where  $t^i$ 's are uniquely determined by the equation

$$\frac{1}{l+1} \operatorname{d} \log |H| + \sum_{i} t^{i} \omega_{i}^{l} = 0 = \lambda \sum_{A} x^{A} \operatorname{d} y_{A}$$

for some nonzero  $\lambda$  in our case [5]. Set  $q^A = (1/(l+1) \det(p))(\partial \det(p)/\partial y_A)$ . Then a simple computation shows that  $t^i = y_l(q^i - x^i\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda = (1/7)(-1 + \sum_A y_A q^A)$ . The affine normal  $\xi^x$  is now determined to be

$$\vec{\xi}^{x} = |H|^{1/(l+1)} \left( e_{l} + \sum_{i} t^{i} e_{i} \right)$$

$$= |H|^{1/(l+1)} \left( e_{l} + \frac{y_{l}}{7} x^{i} e_{i} + y_{l} \left( q^{i} - \frac{x^{i}}{7} \left( \sum_{B} y_{B} q^{B} \right) \right) e_{i} \right)$$

$$= |H|^{1/(l+1)} \frac{y_{l}}{7} \left( x^{A} \alpha_{A} + \left( 7q^{A} - x^{A} \left( \sum_{B} y_{B} q^{B} \right) \right) \alpha_{A} \right).$$
(28)

A hypersurface in an affine space is called an *affine sphere* if all the affine normal lines pass through a fixed point(finite or infinite) called the center. In case it is locally convex, it is called elliptic or hyperbolic depending on whether the center is on the convex or concave side.

**Theorem 2.** Let M be a compact  $G_2$  manifold with  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ . The locus of projection  $\pi^3(\mathfrak{M}_1) \subset H^3(M, \mathbb{R})$  is a hyperbolic affine sphere centered at the origin iff det $(p_{AB})$  (equivalently det $(m_{AB})$ ) is constant along  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ .

**Proof.** From the formula (28),  $\vec{\xi}^x$  is proportional to  $\vec{x} = x^A \alpha_A$  iff  $q^A = \mu x^A$  on  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  for some function  $\mu$  for all A. By definition of  $q^A$ , this is equivalent to d det $(p_{AB}) = 0$  on  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ .

From (24), we have

$$\sum_{AB} m^{AB} dm_{AB} \equiv \sum_{AB} p^{AB} dp_{AB} - \frac{1}{3V} p^{AB} (y_A dy_B + y_B dy_A) - \frac{1}{4V} p_{AB} (x^A dx^B + x^B dx^A) + \frac{1}{12V^2} x^A x^B (y_A dy_B + y_B dy_A) \mod dV \quad (by (21), (22)) \equiv \sum_{AB} p^{AB} dp_{AB} \mod dV.$$

 $\pi^3(\mathfrak{M}_1)$  is locally convex by Proposition 3 and hyperbolic by Theorem 1.

By definition, det $(m_{AB})$  is constant on  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  iff the volume of the torus  $H^3(M, \mathbb{R})/H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is constant on  $\mathfrak{M}_1$ . Theorem 2 is analogous to Hitchin's result on the canonical embedding of the special Lagrangian moduli [8].

### References

- [1] A. Besse, Einstein Manifolds, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [2] R. Bryant, Metrics with exceptional holonomy, Ann. Math. (2) 126 (3) (1987) 525-576.
- [3] R. Bryant, Some remarks on G<sub>2</sub>-structures. arXiv:math.DG/0305124.
- [4] S.S. Chern, On a generalization of Kähler geometry, S.S. Chern Selected Papers I, pp. 227–245.
- [5] S.S. Chern, Affine minimal hypersurfaces, S.S. Chern Selected Papers III, pp. 425-438.
- [6] M.P. do Carmo, Riemannian geometry, Mathematics: Theory and Applications, Birkhäuser, 1992.
- [7] R. Goto, Deformations of calibrations, Calabi-Yau, HyperKähler, G<sub>2</sub> and Spin(7) structures. arXiv:math. DG/0204288.
- [8] N. Hitchin, The moduli space of special Lagrangian submanifolds, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa CI. Sci. (4) 25 (3/4) (1997) 503–515.
- [9] D. Joyce, Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [10] N. Koiso, Einstein metrics and complex structures, Invent. Math. 73 (1983) 71-106.
- [11] J.W. Milnor, Morse Theory, Princeton University Press, 1963.
- [12] K. Nomizu, T. Sasaki, Affine Differential Geometry, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [13] Todorov, N. Andrey, The Weil–Petersson geometry of the moduli space of SU(n ≥ 3) (Calabi-Yau) manifolds, I, Commun. Math. Phys. 126 (2) (1989) 325–346.
- [14] A. Tromba, Teichmüller theory in Riemannian geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, ETH, 1992.
- [15] P.M.H. Wilson, Sectional curvatures of Kähler moduli. arXiv:math.AG/0307260.